G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906.
(Mulgrai)

File No. 43

Serial Nos. 1–14

Papers Filed.

Month November 1910.

SUBJECT.

Rejects the review petition from Gejjuveda Singh and others of T. Narsipur praying for a re-consideration of the Govt. Order recognizing the claim of S Ahobala Matt to a site and building in the said

PREVIOUS REFERENCES:	LATER REFERENCES

G.R.S.11] 1906.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Muznai)

NOTES.

File No. 43-06

FILE HEADING.

Review petition from Yejurveda Singlachar and others of T. narasipur praying for a re-consideration of the Govt order recognizing the claim of Sri Ahobala matt to a site and building in the said town.

From.

Yejurveda Singlachar and others

No. Dated 17.12.1906 Received

Diary No. 3003	Enclos. -	Spare Copies -	Serial No./

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-1-07

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued.

Orders were accordingly issued in Govt Ord of the 12th July 1904, recognising the claim of the Sri Ahobala Matt at T. narsipur to a site and a building in the said town.

Petition no 3003 Dy 17th December 1906. Paper under disposal

Yedyarveda Singla Char and others of T. narsipur have petitioned the Dewan Saheb praying for a re-consideration of the above orders.

Submitted } after a lapse of 2 1/2 years

Ys 10/1/07

Send the petn to the DC concr to report upon the vacant site applied for & to state also that the vacant site should not be permitted to be built upon.

VC 8/3/07

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued

The Dy Comm^r was accordingly written to

Another petition from yezuveda Singlachar on the subject was forwarded to the Dy Comm^r of the Mysore District for hearing the parties and for submitting a detailed report thereon. The report on the subject is awaited

(Paper under disposal)

In the meanwhile another petition from the Agent of the Sri Ahobala Math has been received wherein he states that he is in receipt of the notice ordering him not to construct any building in the vacant site near the Gurja-Narasimhaswamy temple at T. Narsipur. He prays that as much inconvenience is felt by not improving the building of the Sri Ahobala Matt, he may be permitted to improve the same by raising the building in the vacant site.

Submitted

AN 6/6/07.

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

38

Page (~~39~~ 4)

File No.	190	Mużrai Secretariat.

[illegible]

[illegible]

Issued
18/6/07

nd Revenue retariat.

Mwz File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No.

To The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dt.

No 105, dated 15th Jany 1907

Forwards petition dated 28th May 1907 from the Agent of the Matt for report

From the same

No 218, dated 14th Novr 1907

States that the Amildar has been ordered that the vacant site in question should not be permitted to be built upon

From The Agent of the Sri Ahobala Matt

Petn No 3333 dated 18th Febry 1908

Solicits permission for the construction of a Matt building on the vacant site

To The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dt.

No. 3854 dated 4th March 1908

Forwards the above petn of the Matt Agent for submission of the report already called for

From

The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dt

No 488 dated 21st June 1909

Forwards the records of enquiry and the English Report submitted by the Amildar Mr N Rama Rao, and states that the latter explains fully the facts of the case

Subd The Amildar's English Report (marked Z) is clear -

Slip Z

Re 1/7/1909

Re 4/7/09

Noted. The Dy Commr

Noted. [illegible]

1/7/1909

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPL—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Under-Secretary's note:—

This is an application for the review of Govt order no 283-4 dated 12th July 1904.

3. The dispute in this case is essentially a Tengale-Vadagale one and relates to the building marked B and the site A to the west thereof lying between it and the mound marked E in the Sketch recently prepared at my instance

* Slip A

3 The Akobala mate claim the building as their mate and with regard to the adjoining site, say that they obtained it from one Valabagalu Sreenivasiengar by sale. The building being entirely in disrepair the Akobala mate want to build a new matha on the entire site.

4. The Tengales say that Valabagalu Sreenivasiengar had no right to sell the site to the mate and that the building B is only a musafirkhana built by one Chinnanna with the permission of Govt and that the mate to whom

GPB—55,000—27 4.09

venue
iat.

Mug File No. 46 of 1906

Serial No.

the building was sifted by Chinnanna can have no more right than Chinnanna. They therefore contend that the building B is no mate, that it might be repaired only, it it is to be used as a Musafirkhana and not otherwise and that on no account should it be extended to the west or north in Nov- and Dec- last.

5. I inspected the spot and also went through the entire Vern- records

6 The facts of the case are briefly given below.—

7. In 1860 one chinnanna applied for permission to build a Musafirkhana for all castes in the Site adjoining the Narasimhaswamy temple in T. narasipur After some correspondence, permission was granted

8. It will be clear from what I have stated above that to settle this dispute, it is necessary to know what extent of land chinnanna asked for and what extent was granted. The available records of this period give no information on this point. When the Sub Divl- Offr in 1901 called for extracts from the

× Though information is available in Amildar's Abstracts after 1871 the Institution of 1869 is of course not known

× Vide Amildar's 5×7 Abstracts (Vide Amildar's Abstract question 4 Appendix 4 of 1906 R).

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27 4 09

G. R.]
d Revenue
Secretariat.

Mug File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No.

Registers, he was told that they had been eaten by white ants. The search of the Record-keeper at my request also proved fruitless

9 From Chinnanna's Statement in 1860 to the effect that he would provide separate accommodation to Panchamas on the side adjoining the Angadi-Beedee and Amaragi (which was the southern boundary of the site), I gather that the site given to him extended up to the Talkad road on the South.

10. Though the site was obtained in 1860 the terraced building B was commenced only in 1872-3 (Vide Shanbhogs Statement and Khanesumari extracts wherein the building is referred to as incomplete). About this time, one Sreenivasiengar, a Dayadi of Chinnanna built a tiled house of 5 Ankanams to the west of the terraced building and sold it to the Akbala mate while Chinnanna gifted to the same mate his incomplete building with the materials he had collected. This was in 1873. Both

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27 4 09

R. [illegible] 2]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No

transactions are evidenced by registered documents copies of which are in the file. Chinnanna's son Ranganna has stated that the matt completed the incomplete building the Shanbhogue stated before me that the Abobala Swamy visited T. narasipur twice and stopped in these buildings

11. It would have been useful if we could know how Sreenivasiengar obtained land to build upon. Unfortunately information on this point is entirely lacking Apparently he did not obtain from Govt., for the Amildar has reported that there are no records ~~connected to~~ to show this. I believe Sreenivasiengar must have obtained from his Dayadi a portion of the land allotted to him and must have built upon it.

12. After the transfers refd. to above the name of the matt appears in the Khaneshumari the extract from which will show that while the tiled building has throughout been consistently shown as a chattram, the terraced

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

building has been shown as a chattram till 1881-2 and subsequently as Akoliala matt.

13. In 1890, the tiled-building having fallen into disrepair, the matt was called upon to repair it They did nothing The fixtures were pulled down and auctioned Chinnama- who was then alive offered to repair the building and was put in possession of the auctioned things The Dy Commr seems to have said that the building should not be repaired.

14. The terraced building having also fallen into disrepair, a notice was issued to the matt by the Muzrai Supdt in 1895 and by the Amildar in 1897 for the repair thereof The latter clearly refers to the building as matt and the former also means the same The matt did nothing.

15 About this time there arose disputes between the Tengalees and Vadagalees about the construction of a Jeeyar Temple. This vexed question has been settled in Govt order no. 3742 dated 5th March 1906 from which

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27 4 06

G. R. S. 12
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No.

it will be seen that the Jengalas built in post-haste the Jeeyar temple to the east of the Janardhana Swamy temple and to the west of the tiled building referred to above.

16. The Ahobala matt now wanted to enclose the rest of the land according to the deeds of sale and gift, and the Agent began to have foundations excavated. This formed the subject of the complaint which was disposed of in Govt order no. 253-4 dated 12th July 1904 now sought to be reviewed.

17 The Jengalas in December 1906 have appli after a lapse of 2½ years, applied for a review of this order Perhaps, during this interval, the matt did nothing though the order of 1904 recognized their right. The Jengalas say that that order was chiefly directed against the Honorary Secretary action of Mr Seshagiri Rao and that therefore their contentions were not considered in passing it. They want these now to be considered.

18 From this office docket no 4457

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

dated 13th march 1907 and a Slip in the file, I gather that Mr Anantasami Rau was of opinion that though the site belonged to the matt, they should obtain permission beforehand to build thereon.

19. In disposing of the present petition, two points may be considered:-

(1) whether as decided in Govt order no 253-4 dated 12th July 1904 the matt has full rights of ownership over the land and building or has only the right which the donor-Chinnama had

(2) If the matt has an absolute right, whether we might interfere with their lawful enjoyment thereof and interdict them from building.

20. As regards the first, it seems to me that the matt can have no ~~so~~ higher right than Chinnama. Agent Appanna Iyengar in whose days the dispute originated has plainly admitted that the terraced building was a Musafirkhana and that after repair it would be used as a Musafirkhana. His

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27 4-09

Revenue Secretariat. | Mug File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No

23 Even after the modification, there will remain the more disputed point of allowing, in the course of improvement an extension of the building B towards the west and South

24 According to the gift the matt got a building measuring 42 × 44 ft. with a back-yard measuring 44 × 84 ft from Chinnamma. They have plainly encroached on 6 ft. towards the North vide Shanbhaga's Statement. JJ. By sale from Valabagal Sreenivasiengar, they obtained a house measuring 12 × 13 yds. with a back-yard measuring 15 × 16 yds. The frontage of both was not in a line. Their situation is roughly shown below:-



The matt Agent wants To pull down B and build a matt on the entire site roughly shown above.

25 To this, ~~Th~~ the Tengalais -

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27 4-09

Serial No.

are absolutely opposed They say that Chinnanna was not given the entire Site between the boundaries specified, but was only given the portion shown in the Sketch of 1860 They add that as shown in the Sketch Chinnanna had to build one Chattram behind the other and not one Chattram by the side of the other, that therefore Sreenivasiengar's building was an unauthorized one and that the matt should not be permitted to build there again They also rely upon an order of the Dy. Commr in 1892 to the effect that the building of Sreenivasiengar should not be restored The existence of such an order is doubtful It was perhaps oral The Tengalais object to the extension towards the South also, on the ground that according to the map refd. to above, the Southern boundary of the Site made over to Chinnanna was the Temple Talai garden and that therefore an extension to the South would be an encroachment on the Temple garden-

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27 4 09

12]
and Revenue
Secretariat.

Mug File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No.

26 In Para 9 above, I have assigned my reasons for thinking that the Southern boundary was the Talkad road. As in the map, it is not said that the Tulasi garden belonged to the temple, I think that Chinnamma proposes to have some open space for a Tulasi garden.

27 As according to the views above submitted, the matt can have only a limited right to the site there should be no objection to the Govt re-distributing the same according to the present requirements; but it seems to me that the Jangams who were plainly wrong in building their jeeyar temple in an objectionable place should not be permitted to profit further by their wrongful act.

28 If it should be held that the matt has an absolute right to the building and site, the further question arises as stated in para 19 above, whether we might interfere with the matt's lawful enjoyment thereof and prevent

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

them from building When I had to deal with such cases as an Assistant Commr, it was a matter of doubt To me whether we could thus interfere or should acquire the lands

29 The present building which now abuts on the temple being too bad even for repair, it is a question whether in re-building it is not desirable to have some open space between it and the temple The mall Agent was willing to allow some open space After carefully considering the matter, I am of opinion that the building may as during the past 36 years, — continue To abut on the temple, inasmuch as any narrow passage between it and the temple will afford cover for committing nuisance. The peons do not desire that this state of things should be ended though the temple Parpathegar in his report no 17 dated 27th August 98 says that the taking of Agrodaka round the temple was stopped

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

S.]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 43 of 190 6

Serial No.

when the Musafirkhana was built

30 It may also be necessary considering the situation to restrict the use of the Musafirkhana to the higher classes

Submitted to Deron
Chief Secretary

Under-Secretary.
4th January 1910.

2470

29/4

Was any inam granted to Shinnanna for the Musafar-Khana? The Paper Sub B does not evidence the grant of the Site.

The order d. 9.12.1860 is the proper grant?

Why should such questions be decided in the Mur. Deptt? Whatever the previous rights were the S.O. of 1904 conveyed the site (to which apparently no one but Govt has any right) to the Ahobala Matha. Why should any restrictions be introduced now? I do not understand how the building would injure the Pet?

Str of agent: d. 29-7-98

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—27-4-09

30/1/10

31/1/10

(19

venue
Secretariat.

Mulg File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No.

Remembered

No inam was granted to Chinnamma
Shop B had been attached to a wrong order.
The correct order has now been marked.

From the papers it will be seen that the land
is shown in the accounts as Temple Kharab
The present building abuts on the Temple, and it
is proposed to extend it. In these circumstances
this case is one in which the Mangalore Branch
is entitled to be consulted, or at least even
though another Branch may issue the
order. At present as the first order as proposed
by the Mangalore Branch the records appear
to be considered by the Salem Branch.

It is the contention of the petitioner that the
new provision that the receipt of the
deeds of sale and gift has not been forthcoming considered.

Whether this contention is upheld or rejected there
will be the question why whether the Municipal Council
is to ~~remove~~ any renovate the building now in existence or
to extend it also? The Tangedco has long that the extension
of the building ~~will~~ to the West being it closer to the Jain temple
which the Jangedcos have many bonds and objected

294 Ambedkar

Mr Rao
The case stands thus. Chi...

24

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27 4 09

Revenue Secretariat | Jmw File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No.

Kannan was given the site for building a Musafarkhana or Chatra thereon but he was not given an inam under the rules. He built a structure though apparently not in exact accordance with the conditions. ~~After~~ Eleven years after the grant, the building and site was ~~sold to another person who gift~~ made a gift of it to the present owner without mentioning ~~the~~ any restrictive ~~con~~ Conditions about enjoyment. In the last Govt order passed nearly 31 years after the gift, Govt recognised the ownership of the present owner. It is sought to review this order by introducing certain restrictive conditions against the present owner (Sri Ahobala Mathur) building on the site. The question is whether ~~govt~~

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,6 11—27 4 09

ue

Inwy File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No.

any such restrictions can now be legally imposed.

Please Consult the Govt Advocate.

6/2/10

Inwy. No. 1. Ex
Forwarded unofficially to the Govt Advocate

for Secy

B.O.

7/2/10

B 0 47
dated 19-2-10

The exact extent of land given to Chinanna for building a musafarkhana in 1860 is not known. ----- Whether the land on which Sreenivasa Iyengar built a house was a portion of the said site is also not --- ascertainable. Chinanna did not complete the building he had commenced to build. It is doubtful whether these buildings were ever dedicated to the public. We find that Chinanna made a gift of the incomplete building and Sreenivasa Iyengar sold the house for a consideration of Rs.200/- to the Putt in 1872 under two registered documents mentioning the properties as their own. The buildings were entered in the Government accounts as those of the Putt and the Putt completed the half finished building. The local Putt ob -

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—55,000—27-4 09

venue at.

Civil File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No.

authorities called upon the Mutt from time to time to repair the buildings. Thus for a long course of --- years the property was recognised as belonging to the Mutt. The so-called admission of the Agent of the Mutt in 1898 that the building when repaired would be --- given for the use of its servants and that wayfarers may then use it as a halting place also, does not --- militate against the ownership of the Mutt, for the reason the Mutt itself is a quasi-public institution. In these circumstances I am of opinion that the --- Government is estopped from questioning the right of the Mutt, and that the order of Government of 1904 now sought to be reviewed, is correct so far as it goes.

There remains for consideration the further question whether it is not now open to Government to insist on the property being used as a wayfarer's only.

As already observed the Government records do not show the exact extent of the land originally --- given to Chinnappa. It is not shown that he was put in possession of greater extent than what is --- mentioned in the deed of gift executed by him in --- favour of the Mutt in 1873. It is only in respect of this property that the right of the Mutt recognised by Government may be construed to extend only to management and upkeep without the power of alienation

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-08

evenue at.

Mutz File No. 43 of 1906

Serial No.

to any other purposes than those of a musafarkhana. In respect of the property purchased from Sreenivasa Iyengar the right of the Putti seems to be absolute. I was not able to find from the file whether the Putti was called upon to meet the allegations made in the review application and what, if any, are the counter allegations made by the Putti. The view I have expressed above is of course subject to be modified by any fresh circumstances which may be brought to light in the reply of the Putti.

19 2 1910 S. Narayana Rao
Govt Advocate

374
Submitted for Hon'ble Mr. Govt Advocate's
Subtd 4/19 2.10 Comments with Govt Advocate's
opinion. If the order not to be
modified it is not necessary to hear
what the Counsel has to say
Abdur Rahman
21/2/1910

2893

The Govt Advocate's opinion seems to be correct. It is unnecessary to pursue the matter further, and we may decline to modify the previous order.

Dewan
28/2/10

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue Secretariat.

Revs File No. 43 of 1906 789

24

Serial No.	
	88 Submitted by Dewan Ambhumayya
	4829 3.3.10 Japee. 4/3/1910
	Ltr 3/11/1910
	250 Draft sent herewith by Secretariat Ambhumayya
	9/11/1910
752	Draft appd by Sir Issue 14.11.1910

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 12th July 1904

No. 253-4
Muz. F. 55-01

Recognising with certain observations, the claim of the Sri Ahobala Matt at T. Narsipur to a site and a building in the aid town.

SBP—24-7-04—200

READ—

Petition Registered No. 1028, dated 22nd January 1903, received from T. Seshadri Iyengar, Agent of the Sri Ahobala Matt at T Narsipur, appealing against the order of the Muzrai Assistant Commissioner ejecting him from the possession of a site and a building belonging to the Matt, both situated between the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami and the Sri Janardhanaswami temples at T. Narsipur.

AND—

Correspondence ending with letter No 155, dated 1st January 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, submitting records relating to the above.

No. 253-4—Muz. F. 55-01, DATED BANGALORE, 12TH JULY 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—The facts of the case are as follows:—

One Valabagal Srinivasaiengar of T. Narsipur had built a tiled building on a vacant site adjoining the Sri Janardhanaswami temple at T. Narsipur. When he built it and how he came to the possession of the site is not known On the 29th September 1873, he sold the building together with the backyard attached to the Sri Ahobala Matt for a consideration of Rs. 200.

2. In December 1860, a piece of land by the side of the house above referred to was granted under the orders of the late Superintendent of the Ashtagram Division to one Chinnanna of T. Narsipur for constructing a musafirkhana for the use of all classes of travellers. On this site, the grantee constructed a building to a certain extent and had been in possession of it till 1873, when he gifted it away together with the materials collected by him for the completion of the building to the Sri Ahobala Matt. The Matt thus came into the possession of Srinivasaiengar's tiled house and the unfinished musafirkhana building in 1873 and continued in the undisturbed possession thereof till 1902.

3. The tiled building, however, had fallen down and the other one much dilapidated in the year 1898, when the Matt began digging the foundation for erecting a building on the site on which once stood the tiled building. Thereupon, some of the local residents of T. Narsipur petitioned that the further progress of the work should be ordered to be stopped, a few on the ground that it was a source of danger to the neighbouring temple of Sri Janardhanaswami during the rainy season on account of the stagnation of water and the others on the ground that they intended to make certain alterations to the said temple on the site near to it.

4. The Amildar Mr. Sundrarajiengar who enquired into the matter in January 1900, expressed his opinion that the vacant sites in question had been shown in the Survey accounts as having belonged to the Sri Gunja Narasimhaswami temple and that therefore he could not make out how the transaction between the Matt on the one hand and Srinivasaiengar and Chinnanna on the other could be held valid.

5. Petitions having been frequently submitted by the Agent of the Matt to the Muzrai Assistant Commissioner praying for permission to go on with the construction of the building, they were referred to the Amildar, and on the report submitted by the latter officer, the Assistant Commissioner Mr. Chengiah Chetty issued a prohibitory order in January 1900, directing that further progress of the work should be held in abeyance.

6. The case was in this stage till April 1902, when again the Agent of the Matt applied to the Deputy Commissioner for permission to proceed with the construction of the building. This petition having been referred for disposal to Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao, the then Muzrai Assistant Commissioner, the latter officer (now Sub-Judge of Hassan) without taking formal action in the matter, had a notice issued to the Agent of the Matt on the 7th November 1902, to unlock the building gifted to

Mucrai, dated 12th July 1904.

the Matt by Chinnanna and hand over the possession thereof to the temple Parpathegar before 6 P.M., that day; and as the notice was not complied with, Mr. Seshagiri Rao had the doors of the building broken open the same evening, in the presence of a panchayet. Against this order of the Assistant Commissioner, the Agent of the Matt has now appealed to Government.

7. The Deputy Commissioner to whom this appeal petition was referred for report, recommends that the vacant site and the building may be given over to the Matt on the condition mentioned in the mutchalika executed by Chinnanna, *viz.*, that the building should be kept open for the use of the travelling public.

8. From the deed of gift and the sale deed produced by the Agent of the Ahobala Matt and from the records submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, it is observed that the Matt obtained possession of the buildings by lawful means. The Khameshumari account contains entries for a number of years in which the buildings in question are stated to have belonged to the Ahobala Matt. The notices issued by the Amildar Sundraraj Ayyangar and Krishna Ayyangar in 1897 and 1900 to the Agent of the Matt to repair the buildings, show that the claim of the Matt is not a shadowy one. The claim of the Matt has been silently acquiesced in from 1873-1898, and was called in question for the first time in the latter year. Mr C B. Seshagiri Rao's procedure in ordering the locks of the building to be broken open was therefore summary and indefensible. Under these circumstances the Government are pleased to recognise the claim of the Sri Ahobala Matt to the site and the building in question

L ANANTASAMI RAO,
Deputy Secy., Mazrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District returning enclosures.
The Registrar, Chief Court, for communication to Mr C B. Seshagiri Rao.

Exd —6 s





[illegible] 16-10-98 [illegible]

[illegible] 11-10-98 [illegible]

VI [illegible]

6.10.04

(Muz. 2.) MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

1289 ನೇ ಸಾಲು
(Labels 1 to 12 A). ಮೈಸೂರು ~~ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿ~~

ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

ತಿರುಮಕೂಡ್ಲುನರಸೀಪುರದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ನಂಜೇಶ್ವರಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಭೂಮಿಯ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ—

ಈ ತಾಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಂಗಾಪುರದ ಸಾಹುಕಾರರಿಂದ ಸೇವೆಯಿಂಬುವ ವರದಿಯ ಪತ್ರದ ಪ್ರತಿಯು ಮೈಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸಾಹುಕಾರರಾದ ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿಯುವ ಅರಜಿಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದೆ—

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ~~ಆಜ್ಞೆ~~ ಪತ್ರ ದಿನಾಂಕ 1904 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮಾಹೆ 17 ನೇ

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂ 727 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಸದರಿ ಅರಜಿಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಹುಕಾರರಿಂದ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು

ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿ ಸದರಿ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ. ಆ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು

ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಬುಲೇಖಿಲೆ ಗಳು ಕಳಿಸಿದವಾಗಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ—

ತಾ 1—10—04

By order
Secretary
Muzrai department
OM

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪಿಸಿಆರ್ 156 ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆ

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ

ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿದವರು: ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿದೇವಿ ಕೋಂ ಗಂಗಾಧರಪ್ಪ, ವಯಸ್ಸು 38 ವರ್ಷ, ಕೃಷಿ, ವಾಸ ಹೊಸಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ.

ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 21-07-2017

ನಾನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಕೃಷಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನನ್ನ ಗಂಡ ಗಂಗಾಧರಪ್ಪ ಮತ್ತು ಮಕ್ಕಳಾದ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಹಾಗೂ ಸುಮಾ ಇವರೊಂದಿಗೆ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಮನೆಯ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಇವರ ಕುಟುಂಬ ವಾಸವಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ ಮೂವರು ಗಂಡು ಮಕ್ಕಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಘಟನೆ ನಡೆದ ದಿನ ಅಂದರೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 17/07/2017 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು 10 ಗಂಟೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಮನೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಕುಳಿತು ಹಪ್ಪಳ ಒಣಗಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆ. ಆಗ ನಮ್ಮ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪನ ಮಕ್ಕಳಾದ ಪ್ರಕಾಶ, ರಮೇಶ ಮತ್ತು ಅಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಇವರು ಒಟ್ಟಾಗಿ ಬಂದು ನಮ್ಮ ಮನೆಯ ಮುಂದಿನ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾದು ಹೋಗುವ ದಾರಿಯ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಜಗಳ ತೆಗೆದರು. ಈ ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಾವು ಕಳೆದ ಹಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಬಳಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಪ್ರಕಾಶ ಮತ್ತು ರಮೇಶ ಇಬ್ಬರೂ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ರಾಡ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು. ಅಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಬರಿಗೈಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದನು. ಅವರು ಬಂದವರೇ ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿ "ಈ ದಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಓಡಾಡಿದರೆ ಕಾಲು ಮುರಿಯುತ್ತೇವೆ" ಎಂದು ಬೆದರಿಕೆ ಹಾಕಿದರು. ನಾನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಮಾಧಾನ ಹೇಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದೆ.

ಆಗ ಪ್ರಕಾಶ ರಾಡ್‌ನಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಎಡಗೈ ಮೊಣಕೈಗೆ ಹೊಡೆದನು. ರಮೇಶ ನನ್ನ ಬಲಗಾಲಿಗೆ ಹೊಡೆದನು. ಅಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ ನನ್ನ ಕೂದಲನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಎಳೆದಾಡಿದನು. ನಾನು ಕೂಗಿಕೊಂಡಾಗ ನಮ್ಮ ಮನೆಯವರು ಮತ್ತು ಅಕ್ಕಪಕ್ಕದವರು ಓಡಿ ಬಂದರು. ಆಗ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳು ಓಡಿ ಹೋದರು.

ನಂತರ ನಾನು ಚಿಕಿತ್ಸೆಗಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬಂದೆ. ಈ ಘಟನೆಯಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಭಯವಾಗಿದೆ. ಆರೋಪಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತೆ ಬಂದು ತೊಂದರೆ ಕೊಡಬಹುದೆಂಬ ಆತಂಕವಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಓದಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿದೇವಿ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸ್ಥಳ

ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸ್ಥಳ

ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸ್ಥಳ

ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಇವರಿಗೆ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
23-12-1876-

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

5 176 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Draft as per orders

Mus 1 A]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commr

Dated Mysore District.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No

Adverting to Govt

Pro. no 253-4 dated
Mus 7 55-01

12th July 1904 recognising

the claim of the Sec Aboba

mate at T. narsipur to

a site and building in the

said town, has the honor

to forward herewith petition

registered no 3003 dated —

GPB—3 000—21 7 03

2

14th December 1906 from

Yejurveda Singla chari and

others of Narsipur, for

favor of a
report upon the vacant site

referred to in it.

2) ~~It is requested that~~

~~The~~ The vacant site in question

should not be permitted

to be built upon.

By order,

(Sd.) 9/3/07

Secretary.

ಅನುಮತಿಯಂತೆ 7/3/07

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

Mluz. 21]

ನಂ. 4458 B/13.3.07

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

ತಿರುಮಕೂಡ್ಲು ನರಸೀಪುರದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಂಬಾ
ನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರಿಗೆ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದವರು ಬುಕ್ಕೀದ
ಶಿಂಗ್ರಾ ಚಕ್ರು ವಗೈರೀರಿಗೆ

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ 1906ನೇ ತಿರಂಗಾರೆ ಮಾಹೆ 17 ನೇ
ಮಕ್ಕಂ 3003 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಅದನ್ನು ಸಿಲ್
ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವಿರು
ವುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶಕಾ 1829 ನೇ ಪಿಂಗಳ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ 1907 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

By Order

Secretary
Muzrai department

9/3/07

MYSORE GOVERNMENT MUZRAI SECRETARIAT 417

13

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 12-12-906 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 11-3-[illegible]

Off. as per orders

514

Muz. I A]

190

From

To The Deputy Commr
Mysore Distt.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

In continuation
of this office no 6457
dated 13th march 1907,
has the honor to forward
herewith petition —
registered no 4178 dated
11th idem from Yejuvala
Singla chari ~~regarding~~
~~the Musafirkhana at~~
~~Tarsikere.~~ praying
that ~~he~~ ~~they~~ may be heard in
person in the matter of
the Musafirkhana at Narasipur.

GPB—3,000—21-7 03

2

The Deputy Commr
is requested to issue
orders to the enquiring
local authority to get
the petitioner before him, examine all the
papers on the subject, that may be produced by the Petr him
and hold the enquiry
and then submit his
report as prayed for. by the Petr.
The Agent of the the Bhobala Mr. Watt named Krishnamachar
By order, may also be heard
at the same time.

Sd) Illegible 28/3/07
Secretary.

M/

Illegible 27/3/07

Illegible

Illegible

Illegible

BO.

Illegible

Illegible

M/ 27/3/07

Dated Bangalore, 27th May 1907.

EIGHT ANNAS

To,

The Secretary to the Government of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Respected Sir,

I am in receipt of the notice dated 15.4.1907, ordering me not to construct any building in the vacant site near Gunja Narasimhaswami temple at T. Narsipur. In this connection I very humbly beg to state that the vacant site belongs to the Sri Ahobala Matt and the same has been so decided in Govt. Pro. No.25-4 Muz. 55-1901 dated 12th July 1904. As much inconvenience is felt by not improving the building of the above Matt, I request permission to improve the same by raising the building in the vacant site. The vacant site between the Ahobala Matt and the Janardanaswamy temple belongs to the Matt only. The petitioners named in the above notice and others also have no right whatever with regard to this site.

I beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient and humble se

Agent of the Sri Ahobala Matt.

9/12

[Mnz 1 A]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commr
Mysore Distt

Dated

Despatched 15/2/07

Received

General No. 63
No.

Enclosure No. —

In continuation of this office no 4654 dated 13th march 1907 requesting the submission of a report on the claim of the Sri Ahobala matt to a site and building at Tarikere, has the honor to forward herewith petition registered no 5398 dated 28th may 07

GPB—3000—21 7 03

from the Agent of the
matt on the same subject
and to request that the
report already called for
may be submitted without
further delay

By order,
Sd/ [illegible] 18/6/07
Secretary

106
Not - to the petr
21
15/6/07

1907-08 97



From
M. Kantarajuru ..... Esq., B.A.
Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao ..... Esq., B.A.
Secy. to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, ~~Gen. & Rev.~~ Depts.

Dated 24th Nov. 07

Despatched

Received

General No. 218

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject

Submits report on the petition of Gajaveda Singla Char. & others of Nanjangud re the Vacant site.

EN
Pl perpleare
Pl care continue note
W 27.11.07

No. 218
Enc. No. 16 file

OFFICE OF THE. Deputy Commissr
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 24th Novr. 1907.

To

L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq., B.A.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
~~GENERAL AND REVENUE~~ Mangalai DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

In reply to your docket no 4457 dated 13.3.07 forwarding for report petition (herewith returned) from Yejamveda Singla Char and others of T. Narsipur regarding the vacant site near the Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami temple at T. Narsipur, I have the honor to submit herewith the file of vernacular records relating to the subject received with the Sub Divisional officer's yadast no. 86/291 dated 21.10.07/13.11.07 and to state that the facts of the case are fully explained in Govt Proc. No. 253-4 dated 12th July 1904.

2. As ordered in para 2 of your docket

docket under reply, the auditor has been ordered that the vacant site in question should not be permitted to be built upon.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
W. Mundahenius
Deputy Commissioner
Bl. Surpore District

Draft for approval

Muz. 1]

190

From

30/11

To

The Hy Commr
Mysore Circle

Dated

Despatched 2.12.07

Received

General No. 2512
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Referring to his letter no 218 Dy 24th November 1907, reporting that the Amildar has been ordered that the vacant site near the Sri Ghanjanarasimhaswamy temple at Narsipur, shall not be permitted to be built upon, has the honor to invite attention to the [illegible]

x

x. Director no. 4770 Dy 4-12-1907 and 4-105 Dy 15-1-1908

GPL—3000- 19-9-07

from this office and that as directed therein, the contending parties viz Jeyaweera Singho Lokuge and the Agent of the Sri Ambalama matt may be examined by the enquiring local authority and the detailed report already called for, submitted to Govt. at an early date.

2) The remainder sums received under cover of the letter under reference ~~---~~ are herewith returned -

By order

Sd/ 2/12/47

Secretary

W 30/12/47

see file ~ previous correspdce on the same subject 18 2 08

To,

3333
18/2/08



The Secretary to the Government of H.H.the

Maharaja of Mysore,Muzrai Department.

Respected Sir,

20/2/08

I presented a petition on 27th May 1907, soliciting permission to construct a Matt building in the vacant site which belongs to the Ahobala Matt at X. T. Narsipur. I do not know what orders have passed. I now respectfully beg you to kindly permit me to construct a Matt building in the vacant site betweeen the Ahobala Matt and Sri Jarardanaswamy temple at the place.

I beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

18-2-08

Agent , Sri Ahobala Matt

Muz. 1]

190

From

To The Deputy Comm^r
Mysore District

Dated

Despatched 4.3.08

Received

General No. 3554
No.

Enclosure No. 1 & -

In continuation of this office
No 2612 Dy 21st December 1907
calling for certain information
regarding the construction of a
building on the vacant site
belonging to the Sri Ahobala
Mutt at T Narasipur, has
the honor - to forward herewith
Petition No 33333 Dy 18th February
1908 received from the Agent
of the above Mutt on the subject

and to request that the report already called for maybe submitted at an early date

BO.

4/3/08

Secretary

4/3/1908



Encl to the Petition

D1813

1908-09

From Mes 43-06-9

M. Kantaraj Urs Esq., B.A.

Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esq., B.A.

Secy. to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Gen. & Rev. Depts.
Guzrai Branch

Dated 21-June 09

Despatched 22

Received

General No. 488

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject

Submits report re site near Sri Gunja Narasimha Swami temple at T. Narasipur.

Refer
G.O.
[illegible]

No. 488
Enc. No. 1 file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr.
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 21st June 1909.
22

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esq., B.A.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Inamdari Branch.

SIR,

Adverting to your docket No. 4457 dated 13.3.1907 and subsequent communications ending with your docket No. 3854 dated 4.3.1908 regarding the site near the Sri Gunja Narasimha Swamy temple at Narasipur, I have the honor to submit herewith the file of papers bearing on the subject and received with the Sub Divisional officer's official memorandum No. 214/867 dated 2/14.4.09.

The Amildar's English report No 101 dated 30.3.1909 which is herewith submitted in original, fully explains the facts of the case.

3. The enclosures received with

3854 4, 2612 2, 4470 4-no-4, 4457 13 3

with dockets noted on the margin are herewith re-transmitted.

4. The enclosure to your docket No: 105 dated 15.7.1907 which has been called for from the Sub Divisional officer will be submitted to you on receipt of the same from that officer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

F Bland Owen
Deputy Commr
Mysore District

BG.

D 789 5.12

190

From K. Chandrasekharayer Esqre BABL
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Negombo D.A.

To K. S. Chandrasekharayer Esqre
BABL
Secy to govt of Ceylon Legislative Depte
Municipal branch

Dated 14th October 09

Despatched 15/10

Received

General No. 654

No.

Enclosure No /

Returns petition
from the Agent of the
Sri Bhobala Matt

Refer
GA
21
16/10/09
W

No. 184
Enc. No. 1

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated ~~Mysore~~ Camp 14th October 1909.

To

K. S. Chandrasekaraiya Esq., B.A. B.L.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHĀRAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Muzrai Branch

Sir,

In Continuation of
his office letter No 488 dated 21/22. 6. 09
I have the honor to submit herewith
petition registered No 5398 dated 28. 5. 07.
from the Agent of the Sri Ahobala
mutt received with your docket
No 105 dated 15. 7. 1907.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

S Bhashyam Ayyangar
for Dy Commissioner

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ಧಿವಿನಾಯಕ ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಸಂಘ ನಿಯಮಿತ ಯಲ್ಲಾಪುರ

ಸೇವಾನಿರತ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕ್ಷೇಮನಿಧಿ ಯೋಜನೆ

ಯಲ್ಲಾಪುರ, ದಿನಾಂಕ 25-12-09

ಪ್ರಿಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳೇ, ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಘವು ಸಹಕಾರಿ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿ 52 ವರ್ಷಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸಿದೆ. ಸಂಘದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮೆಲ್ಲರ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಸೇವೆ ಅಪಾರವಾಗಿದೆ. ಸಂಘದ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಪಘಾತ ಅಥವಾ ಅನಾರೋಗ್ಯದಿಂದ ಮರಣ ಸಂಭವಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಕುಟುಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಸಂಘವು ಕ್ಷೇಮನಿಧಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಸಂಘದ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಮರಣ ಹೊಂದಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಕುಟುಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಮರಣ ಹೊಂದಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರ ಕುಟುಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಶಾಶ್ವತ ಅಂಗವಿಕಲತೆಗೆ ಒಳಗಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಭಾಗಶಃ ಅಂಗವಿಕಲತೆಗೆ ಒಳಗಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಐವತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳು ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ ಅಪಘಾತದಿಂದ ಗಾಯಗೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2½ - 3 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 44 [illegible]

50 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Before me
[illegible signature]
25/12/1909

344 — D. 21 — 11 - 1910

Jmea 43-06

Copy to P. Krishnamachar with reference to his petitions No 5398, 3333, dated 28th May 1907 and 18th Feby. 1908.

By Order,

Dft

9/11/1910

For Offg: Secretary

14/11/10